COUNTY-LEVEL DATA

A STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING® SYSTEM SUPPLEMENT TO CALIFORNIA'S CHILD WELFARE SERVICES

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

May 2025

Structured Decision Making and SDM are registered trademarks of Evident Change.

ABOUT EVIDENT CHANGE

Evident Change is a nonprofit that uses data and research to improve our social systems. For more information, call (800) 306-6223 or visit EvidentChange.org. You can also find us on social media by visiting Linktr.ee/EvidentChange.

© 2025 Evident Change

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

This county-level data report provides select data analyses in tabular form from the Structured Decision Making® (SDM) system in child welfare services (CWS) management report (May 2025). Evident Change urges counties to use the report to pinpoint strengths and improvement opportunities in SDM® tools. Information in this report may encourage counties to share successful strategies that support using SDM tools with fidelity. Counties in California represent diverse landscapes in population, geography, and resource availability. Consider this context when comparing information between counties.

Results for counties with fewer than 25 referrals, investigations, cases, or placement episodes available for analysis are included in the total but are not listed individually. Therefore, the sums of the table columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" rows.

SCREENING AND RESPONSE TIME DECISIONS

In 2024, California counties received 400,354 child abuse or neglect referrals. The CWS/case management system (CMS) identified 184,072 (46%) referrals as having had an in-person response.

COUNTY	ACCEPT		EVALUATE OUT		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	3,389	32%	7,304	68%	**10,693**
Alpine	11	38%	18	62%	**29**
Amador	288	40%	440	60%	**728**
Butte	478	14%	2,878	86%	**3,356**
Calaveras	411	40%	617	60%	**1,028**
Colusa	126	52%	115	48%	**241**
Contra Costa	3,589	44%	4,569	56%	**8,158**
Del Norte	265	40%	401	60%	**666**
El Dorado	820	40%	1,210	60%	**2,030**
Fresno	6,459	40%	9,537	60%	**15,996**
Glenn	260	42%	353	58%	**613**
Humboldt	1,043	32%	2,196	68%	**3,239**
Imperial	1,760	60%	1,156	40%	**2,916**
Inyo	163	45%	201	55%	**364**
Kern	3,861	33%	7,859	67%	**11,720**
Kings	989	40%	1,481	60%	**2,470**
Lake	559	43%	748	57%	**1,307**
Lassen	200	38%	324	62%	**524**
Los Angeles	34,064	59%	23,516	41%	**57,580**
Madera	1,585	57%	1,214	43%	**2,799**
Marin	293	31%	650	69%	**943**
Mariposa	115	78%	32	22%	**147**
Mendocino	802	41%	1,172	59%	**1,974**
Merced	1,949	41%	2,860	59%	**4,809**
Modoc	229	67%	111	33%	**340**
Mono	58	27%	157	73%	**215**
Monterey	2,129	39%	3,281	61%	**5,410**

Table header:
TABLE 1

EMERGENCY RESPONSE REFERRALS
CWS/CMS SCREENING DECISION

	TABLE 1 EMERGENCY RESPONSE REFERRALS CWS/CMS SCREENING DECISION				
COUNTY	**ACCEPT**		**EVALUATE OUT**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Napa	511	32%	1,100	68%	1,611
Nevada	481	36%	855	64%	1,336
Orange	8,712	27%	23,029	73%	31,741
Placer	1,429	34%	2,748	66%	4,177
Plumas	97	51%	94	49%	191
Riverside	24,667	66%	12,916	34%	37,583
Sacramento	8,956	38%	14,573	62%	23,529
San Benito	213	30%	504	70%	717
San Bernardino	16,472	57%	12,663	43%	29,135
San Diego	18,911	48%	20,689	52%	39,600
San Francisco	1,894	54%	1,612	46%	3,506
San Joaquin	3,686	32%	7,673	68%	11,359
San Luis Obispo	1,762	47%	2,008	53%	3,770
San Mateo	1,767	35%	3,298	65%	5,065
Santa Barbara	3,390	56%	2,633	44%	6,023
Santa Clara	6,563	57%	4,976	43%	11,539
Santa Cruz	854	39%	1,339	61%	2,193
Shasta	1,335	38%	2,134	62%	3,469
Siskiyou	389	58%	279	42%	668
Solano	2,242	45%	2,728	55%	4,970
Sonoma	1,528	33%	3,166	67%	4,694
Stanislaus	3,085	38%	4,937	62%	8,022
Sutter	409	30%	977	70%	1,386
Tehama	619	47%	708	53%	1,327
Trinity	70	37%	120	63%	190
Tulare	3,494	37%	6,033	63%	9,527
Tuolumne	249	29%	624	71%	873
Ventura	3,010	39%	4,792	61%	7,802
Yolo	671	28%	1,724	72%	2,395
Yuba	696	42%	948	58%	1,644
Total	**184,072**	**46%**	**216,282**	**54%**	**400,354**

In 2024, counties received 400,354 child abuse or neglect referrals, with 394,015 (98.4%) having completed the SDM screening assessment.

TABLE 2					
SDM SCREENING COMPLETION RATE					
COUNTY	**COMPLETED**		**NOT COMPLETED**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Alameda	10,509	98%	184	2%	**10,693**
Alpine	25	86%	4	14%	**29**
Amador	717	98%	11	2%	**728**
Butte	3,328	99%	28	1%	**3,356**
Calaveras	1,017	99%	11	1%	**1,028**
Colusa	191	79%	50	21%	**241**
Contra Costa	8,148	100%	10	<1%	**8,158**
Del Norte	655	98%	11	2%	**666**
El Dorado	1,858	92%	172	8%	**2,030**
Fresno	14,850	93%	1,146	7%	**15,996**
Glenn	608	99%	5	1%	**613**
Humboldt	3,176	98%	63	2%	**3,239**
Imperial	2,913	100%	3	<1%	**2,916**
Inyo	328	90%	36	10%	**364**
Kern	11,720	100%	0	0%	**11,720**
Kings	2,194	89%	276	11%	**2,470**
Lake	1,281	98%	26	2%	**1,307**
Lassen	522	100%	2	<1%	**524**
Los Angeles	57,407	100%	173	<1%	**57,580**
Madera	2,760	99%	39	1%	**2,799**
Marin	901	96%	42	4%	**943**
Mariposa	132	90%	15	10%	**147**
Mendocino	1,910	97%	64	3%	**1,974**
Merced	4,216	88%	593	12%	**4,809**
Modoc	328	96%	12	4%	**340**
Mono	209	97%	6	3%	**215**
Monterey	5,401	100%	9	<1%	**5,410**
Napa	1,611	100%	0	0%	**1,611**
Nevada	1,312	98%	24	2%	**1,336**
Orange	31,426	99%	315	1%	**31,741**

TABLE 2 SDM SCREENING COMPLETION RATE					
COUNTY	COMPLETED		NOT COMPLETED		N
	n	%	n	%	
Placer	4,177	100%	0	0%	4,177
Plumas	152	80%	39	20%	191
Riverside	37,487	100%	96	<1%	37,583
Sacramento	23,126	98%	403	2%	23,529
San Benito	714	100%	3	<1%	717
San Bernardino	28,934	99%	201	1%	29,135
San Diego	39,455	100%	145	<1%	39,600
San Francisco	3,357	96%	149	4%	3,506
San Joaquin	11,246	99%	113	1%	11,359
San Luis Obispo	3,647	97%	123	3%	3,770
San Mateo	4,874	96%	191	4%	5,065
Santa Barbara	5,840	97%	183	3%	6,023
Santa Clara	11,502	100%	37	<1%	11,539
Santa Cruz	2,141	98%	52	2%	2,193
Shasta	3,468	100%	1	<1%	3,469
Siskiyou	600	90%	68	10%	668
Solano	4,894	98%	76	2%	4,970
Sonoma	4,599	98%	95	2%	4,694
Stanislaus	7,509	94%	513	6%	8,022
Sutter	1,322	95%	64	5%	1,386
Tehama	1,305	98%	22	2%	1,327
Trinity	185	97%	5	3%	190
Tulare	9,509	100%	18	<1%	9,527
Tuolumne	871	100%	2	<1%	873
Ventura	7,801	100%	1	<1%	7,802
Yolo	2,228	93%	167	7%	2,395
Yuba	1,409	86%	235	14%	1,644
Total	394,015	98%	6,339	2%	400,354

Of the 394,015 child abuse or neglect referrals that included a completed SDM screening assessment, 196,628 (49.9%) were r an in-person response. The analysis excludes eight referrals with a data anomaly in the screening tool.

	TABLE 3				
	FINAL SDM SCREENING DECISION				
COUNTY	**IN-PERSON RESPONSE**		**EVALUATE OUT**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Alameda	3,357	32%	7,152	68%	**10,509**
Alpine	14	56%	11	44%	**25**
Amador	287	40%	430	60%	**717**
Butte	517	16%	2,811	84%	**3,328**
Calaveras	423	42%	594	58%	**1,017**
Colusa	121	63%	70	37%	**191**
Contra Costa	3,705	45%	4,442	55%	**8,147**
Del Norte	263	40%	392	60%	**655**
El Dorado	900	48%	958	52%	**1,858**
Fresno	9,606	65%	5,244	35%	**14,850**
Glenn	261	43%	347	57%	**608**
Humboldt	1,111	35%	2,065	65%	**3,176**
Imperial	1,759	60%	1,154	40%	**2,913**
Inyo	126	38%	202	62%	**328**
Kern	5,138	44%	6,582	56%	**11,720**
Kings	1,046	48%	1,148	52%	**2,194**
Lake	556	43%	725	57%	**1,281**
Lassen	199	38%	323	62%	**522**
Los Angeles	34,821	61%	22,581	39%	**57,402**
Madera	1,621	59%	1,139	41%	**2,760**
Marin	290	32%	611	68%	**901**
Mariposa	111	84%	21	16%	**132**
Mendocino	816	43%	1,094	57%	**1,910**
Merced	1,625	39%	2,591	61%	**4,216**
Modoc	230	70%	98	30%	**328**
Mono	71	34%	138	66%	**209**
Monterey	2,169	40%	3,232	60%	**5,401**
Napa	530	33%	1,081	67%	**1,611**
Nevada	474	36%	838	64%	**1,312**

TABLE 3					
FINAL SDM SCREENING DECISION					
COUNTY	IN-PERSON RESPONSE		EVALUATE OUT		N
	n	%	n	%	
Orange	8,635	27%	22,791	73%	**31,426**
Placer	1,652	40%	2,525	60%	**4,177**
Plumas	84	55%	68	45%	**152**
Riverside	26,872	72%	10,614	28%	**37,486**
Sacramento	8,780	38%	14,346	62%	**23,126**
San Benito	214	30%	500	70%	**714**
San Bernardino	19,059	66%	9,875	34%	**28,934**
San Diego	20,795	53%	18,659	47%	**39,454**
San Francisco	1,985	59%	1,372	41%	**3,357**
San Joaquin	3,635	32%	7,611	68%	**11,246**
San Luis Obispo	1,732	47%	1,915	53%	**3,647**
San Mateo	1,808	37%	3,066	63%	**4,874**
Santa Barbara	3,727	64%	2,113	36%	**5,840**
Santa Clara	6,592	57%	4,910	43%	**11,502**
Santa Cruz	828	39%	1,313	61%	**2,141**
Shasta	1,328	38%	2,140	62%	**3,468**
Siskiyou	365	61%	235	39%	**600**
Solano	2,223	45%	2,671	55%	**4,894**
Sonoma	1,615	35%	2,984	65%	**4,599**
Stanislaus	2,966	39%	4,543	61%	**7,509**
Sutter	433	33%	889	67%	**1,322**
Tehama	609	47%	696	53%	**1,305**
Trinity	68	37%	117	63%	**185**
Tulare	3,535	37%	5,974	63%	**9,509**
Tuolumne	247	28%	624	72%	**871**
Ventura	3,013	39%	4,788	61%	**7,801**
Yolo	691	31%	1,537	69%	**2,228**
Yuba	980	70%	429	30%	**1,409**
Total	**196,628**	**50%**	**197,379**	**50%**	**394,007**

In 2024, there were 359,529 of the 394,007 referrals with a completed SDM screening assessment could receive a screening decision override. The analysis excludes eight referrals with a data anomaly in the screening tool and 34,478 referrals where the presence of at least one preliminary screening criterion made a review of screening criteria unnecessary.

COUNTY	NO OVERRIDE		OVERRIDE TO IN-PERSON RESPONSE, NO CRITERIA SELECTED		OVERRIDE TO EVALUATE OUT		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	8,737	96%	58	1%	296	3%	9,091
Amador	525	94%	15	3%	18	3%	558
Butte	2,756	84%	36	1%	502	15%	3,294
Calaveras	781	91%	63	7%	16	2%	860
Colusa	142	84%	0	0%	27	16%	169
Contra Costa	7,892	99%	17	<1%	26	<1%	7,935
Del Norte	564	92%	28	5%	19	3%	611
El Dorado	1,641	96%	13	1%	49	3%	1,703
Fresno	12,593	89%	99	1%	1,456	10%	14,148
Glenn	503	97%	5	1%	10	2%	518
Humboldt	2,579	97%	51	2%	28	1%	2,658
Imperial	2,885	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2,885
Inyo	282	98%	3	1%	4	1%	289
Kern	10,728	94%	8	<1%	724	6%	11,460
Kings	1,890	98%	15	1%	21	1%	1,926
Lake	1,179	97%	15	1%	27	2%	1,221
Lassen	490	97%	0	0%	13	3%	503
Los Angeles	49,049	94%	699	1%	2,180	4%	51,928
Madera	2,274	95%	6	<1%	107	4%	2,387
Marin	859	99%	7	1%	4	<1%	870
Mariposa	116	91%	4	3%	8	6%	128
Mendocino	1,597	93%	2	<1%	112	7%	1,711
Merced	3,844	98%	40	1%	30	1%	3,914
Modoc	291	98%	2	1%	3	1%	296
Mono	171	97%	1	1%	4	2%	176
Monterey	4,611	97%	34	1%	105	2%	4,750
Napa	1,432	97%	26	2%	20	1%	1,478

TABLE 4

SDM SCREENING OVERRIDES

TABLE 4							
SDM SCREENING OVERRIDES							
COUNTY	**NO OVERRIDE**		**OVERRIDE TO IN-PERSON RESPONSE, NO CRITERIA SELECTED**		**OVERRIDE TO EVALUATE OUT**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Nevada	1,093	97%	14	1%	19	2%	**1,126**
Orange	26,877	95%	59	<1%	1,315	5%	**28,251**
Placer	3,645	91%	3	<1%	350	9%	**3,998**
Plumas	143	95%	4	3%	4	3%	**151**
Riverside	30,859	91%	1,069	3%	2,112	6%	**34,040**
Sacramento	20,527	99%	69	<1%	191	1%	**20,787**
San Benito	616	98%	6	1%	5	1%	**627**
San Bernardino	25,492	96%	110	<1%	1,072	4%	**26,674**
San Diego	34,654	98%	119	<1%	517	1%	**35,290**
San Francisco	3,036	96%	8	<1%	129	4%	**3,173**
San Joaquin	9,485	97%	244	2%	58	1%	**9,787**
San Luis Obispo	2,941	95%	13	<1%	157	5%	**3,111**
San Mateo	4,624	98%	38	1%	55	1%	**4,717**
Santa Barbara	5,458	97%	1	<1%	187	3%	**5,646**
Santa Clara	10,260	94%	131	1%	550	5%	**10,941**
Santa Cruz	2,119	100%	0	0%	0	0%	**2,119**
Shasta	3,368	97%	77	2%	15	<1%	**3,460**
Siskiyou	575	99%	0	0%	6	1%	**581**
Solano	4,271	97%	72	2%	68	2%	**4,411**
Sonoma	4,336	98%	21	<1%	67	2%	**4,424**
Stanislaus	6,440	93%	29	<1%	438	6%	**6,907**
Sutter	1,132	97%	21	2%	16	1%	**1,169**
Tehama	1,152	99%	8	1%	9	1%	**1,169**
Trinity	168	94%	4	2%	7	4%	**179**
Tulare	8,431	98%	84	1%	93	1%	**8,608**
Tuolumne	789	98%	5	1%	13	2%	**807**
Ventura	6,108	94%	5	<1%	388	6%	**6,501**
Yolo	1,983	97%	19	1%	43	2%	**2,045**
Yuba	1,271	95%	31	2%	31	2%	**1,333**
Total	**342,294**	**95%**	**3,511**	**1%**	**13,724**	**4%**	**359,529**

Referrals with initial and final recommendations for an in-person response qualify for the response priority section. In 2024, there were 193,117 referrals with a recorded final SDM response time; those missing an initial response time are not included.

	TABLE 5				
	FINAL SDM RESPONSE PRIORITY LEVEL				
COUNTY	**IMMEDIATE/24 HOURS**		**10 DAYS***		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Alameda	1,420	43%	1,879	57%	**3,299**
Amador	106	39%	166	61%	**272**
Butte	182	38%	299	62%	**481**
Calaveras	137	38%	223	62%	**360**
Colusa	17	14%	104	86%	**121**
Contra Costa	379	10%	3,309	90%	**3,688**
Del Norte	33	14%	202	86%	**235**
El Dorado	147	17%	740	83%	**887**
Fresno	1,590	17%	7,917	83%	**9,507**
Glenn	52	20%	204	80%	**256**
Humboldt	143	13%	917	87%	**1,060**
Imperial	379	22%	1,380	78%	**1,759**
Inyo	18	15%	105	85%	**123**
Kern	1,638	32%	3,492	68%	**5,130**
Kings	402	39%	629	61%	**1,031**
Lake	109	20%	432	80%	**541**
Lassen	92	46%	107	54%	**199**
Los Angeles	7,669	22%	26,453	78%	**34,122**
Madera	419	26%	1,196	74%	**1,615**
Marin	55	19%	228	81%	**283**
Mariposa	23	21%	84	79%	**107**
Mendocino	325	40%	489	60%	**814**
Merced	574	36%	1,011	64%	**1,585**
Modoc	71	31%	157	69%	**228**
Mono	8	11%	62	89%	**70**
Monterey	785	37%	1,350	63%	**2,135**
Napa	106	21%	398	79%	**504**
Nevada	82	18%	378	82%	**460**
Orange	1,736	20%	6,840	80%	**8,576**

	TABLE 5				
	FINAL SDM RESPONSE PRIORITY LEVEL				
COUNTY	**IMMEDIATE/24 HOURS**		**10 DAYS***		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Placer	152	9%	1,497	91%	**1,649**
Plumas	7	9%	73	91%	**80**
Riverside	5,600	22%	20,203	78%	**25,803**
Sacramento	2,525	29%	6,186	71%	**8,711**
San Benito	26	13%	182	88%	**208**
San Bernardino	1,647	9%	17,302	91%	**18,949**
San Diego	7,663	37%	13,013	63%	**20,676**
San Francisco	824	42%	1,153	58%	**1,977**
San Joaquin	981	29%	2,410	71%	**3,391**
San Luis Obispo	470	27%	1,249	73%	**1,719**
San Mateo	490	28%	1,280	72%	**1,770**
Santa Barbara	582	16%	3,144	84%	**3,726**
Santa Clara	1,642	25%	4,819	75%	**6,461**
Santa Cruz	183	22%	645	78%	**828**
Shasta	180	14%	1,071	86%	**1,251**
Siskiyou	71	19%	294	81%	**365**
Solano	745	35%	1,406	65%	**2,151**
Sonoma	607	38%	987	62%	**1,594**
Stanislaus	803	27%	2,134	73%	**2,937**
Sutter	107	26%	305	74%	**412**
Tehama	98	16%	503	84%	**601**
Trinity	12	19%	52	81%	**64**
Tulare	1,298	38%	2,153	62%	**3,451**
Tuolumne	37	15%	205	85%	**242**
Ventura	532	18%	2,476	82%	**3,008**
Yolo	165	25%	507	75%	**672**
Yuba	327	34%	622	66%	**949**
Total	**46,477**	**24%**	**146,640**	**76%**	**193,117**

*Five days in Los Angeles County.

COUNTY	TABLE 6 SDM RESPONSE PRIORITY OVERRIDE RATE						
	NO OVERRIDE		**OVERRIDE TO IMMEDIATE/24 HOURS**		**OVERRIDE TO 10 DAYS***		**N**
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	3,107	94%	51	2%	141	4%	**3,299**
Amador	250	92%	11	4%	11	4%	**272**
Butte	462	96%	16	3%	3	1%	**481**
Calaveras	339	94%	11	3%	10	3%	**360**
Colusa	117	97%	2	2%	2	2%	**121**
Contra Costa	3,605	98%	38	1%	45	1%	**3,688**
Del Norte	227	97%	4	2%	4	2%	**235**
El Dorado	848	96%	14	2%	25	3%	**887**
Fresno	9,291	98%	91	1%	125	1%	**9,507**
Glenn	243	95%	10	4%	3	1%	**256**
Humboldt	994	94%	23	2%	43	4%	**1,060**
Imperial	1,757	100%	2	<1%	0	0%	**1,759**
Inyo	118	96%	4	3%	1	1%	**123**
Kern	4,559	89%	452	9%	119	2%	**5,130**
Kings	978	95%	46	4%	7	1%	**1,031**
Lake	481	89%	11	2%	49	9%	**541**
Lassen	176	88%	19	10%	4	2%	**199**
Los Angeles	30,601	90%	786	2%	2,735	8%	**34,122**
Madera	1,562	97%	35	2%	18	1%	**1,615**
Marin	262	93%	6	2%	15	5%	**283**
Mariposa	102	95%	2	2%	3	3%	**107**
Mendocino	782	96%	20	2%	12	1%	**814**
Merced	1,403	89%	173	11%	9	1%	**1,585**
Modoc	217	95%	9	4%	2	1%	**228**
Mono	68	97%	0	0%	2	3%	**70**
Monterey	1,917	90%	128	6%	90	4%	**2,135**
Napa	468	93%	12	2%	24	5%	**504**
Nevada	447	97%	13	3%	0	0%	**460**
Orange	7,836	91%	105	1%	635	7%	**8,576**
Placer	1,607	97%	22	1%	20	1%	**1,649**
Plumas	79	99%	0	0%	1	1%	**80**
Riverside	24,663	96%	533	2%	607	2%	**25,803**

	TABLE 6 SDM RESPONSE PRIORITY OVERRIDE RATE						
COUNTY	**NO OVERRIDE**		**OVERRIDE TO IMMEDIATE/24 HOURS**		**OVERRIDE TO 10 DAYS***		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Sacramento	8,135	93%	102	1%	474	5%	**8,711**
San Benito	203	98%	4	2%	1	<1%	**208**
San Bernardino	18,263	96%	380	2%	306	2%	**18,949**
San Diego	20,139	97%	184	1%	353	2%	**20,676**
San Francisco	1,906	96%	32	2%	39	2%	**1,977**
San Joaquin	2,961	87%	120	4%	310	9%	**3,391**
San Luis Obispo	1,583	92%	38	2%	98	6%	**1,719**
San Mateo	1,625	92%	37	2%	108	6%	**1,770**
Santa Barbara	3,635	98%	71	2%	20	1%	**3,726**
Santa Clara	5,683	88%	202	3%	576	9%	**6,461**
Santa Cruz	823	99%	3	<1%	2	<1%	**828**
Shasta	1,170	94%	33	3%	48	4%	**1,251**
Siskiyou	345	95%	13	4%	7	2%	**365**
Solano	1,957	91%	60	3%	134	6%	**2,151**
Sonoma	1,441	90%	81	5%	72	5%	**1,594**
Stanislaus	2,782	95%	96	3%	59	2%	**2,937**
Sutter	373	91%	25	6%	14	3%	**412**
Tehama	571	95%	10	2%	20	3%	**601**
Trinity	60	94%	2	3%	2	3%	**64**
Tulare	3,220	93%	191	6%	40	1%	**3,451**
Tuolumne	236	98%	5	2%	1	<1%	**242**
Ventura	2,877	96%	66	2%	65	2%	**3,008**
Yolo	631	94%	26	4%	15	2%	**672**
Yuba	846	89%	89	9%	14	1%	**949**
Total	**181,054**	**94%**	**4,520**	**2%**	**7,543**	**4%**	**193,117**

*Five days in Los Angeles County.

SDM SAFETY ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

In 2024, there were 153,129 (92%) of 165,576 investigations with a safety assessment completed on the allegation household. Referrals directed to an in-person response via the hotline tool were excluded from the safety assessment completion rates because they do not require additional SDM assessments.

COUNTY	COMPLETED		NOT COMPLETED		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	2,207	69%	972	31%	3,179
Amador	245	98%	4	2%	249
Butte	384	94%	24	6%	408
Calaveras	295	91%	30	9%	325
Colusa	79	72%	31	28%	110
Contra Costa	2,413	71%	984	29%	3,397
Del Norte	153	78%	43	22%	196
El Dorado	668	95%	36	5%	704
Fresno	4,475	84%	833	16%	5,308
Glenn	219	93%	17	7%	236
Humboldt	882	96%	35	4%	917
Imperial	1,524	99%	14	1%	1,538
Inyo	27	17%	131	83%	158
Kern	3,365	97%	96	3%	3,461
Kings	832	98%	15	2%	847
Lake	432	86%	68	14%	500
Lassen	187	99%	2	1%	189
Los Angeles	30,174	96%	1,159	4%	31,333
Madera	1,389	99%	11	1%	1,400
Marin	241	94%	15	6%	256
Mariposa	106	95%	5	5%	111
Mendocino	615	84%	113	16%	728
Merced	1,517	87%	226	13%	1,743
Modoc	154	75%	51	25%	205
Mono	55	98%	1	2%	56
Monterey	1,561	85%	276	15%	1,837
Napa	457	98%	8	2%	465

TABLE 7

SDM SAFETY ASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE

	TABLE 7				
	SDM SAFETY ASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE				
COUNTY	**COMPLETED**		**NOT COMPLETED**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Nevada	234	63%	136	37%	**370**
Orange	8,040	97%	207	3%	**8,247**
Placer	1,217	93%	96	7%	**1,313**
Plumas	39	63%	23	37%	**62**
Riverside	20,772	94%	1,277	6%	**22,049**
Sacramento	7,404	93%	568	7%	**7,972**
San Benito	195	97%	6	3%	**201**
San Bernardino	11,698	81%	2,777	19%	**14,475**
San Diego	15,986	97%	576	3%	**16,562**
San Francisco	1,596	96%	66	4%	**1,662**
San Joaquin	3,104	98%	74	2%	**3,178**
San Luis Obispo	1,560	95%	74	5%	**1,634**
San Mateo	1,415	86%	225	14%	**1,640**
Santa Barbara	2,954	96%	127	4%	**3,081**
Santa Clara	5,840	96%	212	4%	**6,052**
Santa Cruz	774	96%	31	4%	**805**
Shasta	1,155	97%	34	3%	**1,189**
Siskiyou	349	98%	8	2%	**357**
Solano	1,855	95%	99	5%	**1,954**
Sonoma	1,306	94%	84	6%	**1,390**
Stanislaus	2,876	97%	100	3%	**2,976**
Sutter	283	81%	65	19%	**348**
Tehama	546	94%	36	6%	**582**
Trinity	59	100%	0	0%	**59**
Tulare	3,149	99%	45	1%	**3,194**
Tuolumne	218	96%	8	4%	**226**
Ventura	2,742	95%	150	5%	**2,892**
Yolo	572	93%	43	7%	**615**
Yuba	526	86%	88	14%	**614**
Total	**153,129**	**92%**	**12,447**	**8%**	**165,576**

COUNTY	SAFE		SAFE WITH PLAN		UNSAFE		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	1,767	80%	218	10%	222	10%	2,207
Amador	174	71%	61	25%	10	4%	245
Butte	210	55%	117	30%	57	15%	384
Calaveras	243	82%	31	11%	21	7%	295
Colusa	36	46%	39	49%	4	5%	79
Contra Costa	1,943	81%	326	14%	144	6%	2,413
Del Norte	128	84%	14	9%	11	7%	153
El Dorado	558	84%	63	9%	47	7%	668
Fresno	3,611	81%	559	12%	305	7%	4,475
Glenn	183	84%	22	10%	14	6%	219
Humboldt	807	91%	23	3%	52	6%	882
Imperial	1,338	88%	116	8%	70	5%	1,524
Inyo	20	74%	7	26%	0	0%	27
Kern	2,769	82%	258	8%	338	10%	3,365
Kings	694	83%	102	12%	36	4%	832
Lake	361	84%	51	12%	20	5%	432
Lassen	157	84%	13	7%	17	9%	187
Los Angeles	24,166	80%	4,347	14%	1,661	6%	30,174
Madera	1,160	84%	132	10%	97	7%	1,389
Marin	96	40%	134	56%	11	5%	241
Mariposa	89	84%	13	12%	4	4%	106
Mendocino	497	81%	88	14%	30	5%	615
Merced	1,230	81%	172	11%	115	8%	1,517
Modoc	134	87%	8	5%	12	8%	154
Mono	43	78%	11	20%	1	2%	55
Monterey	1,233	79%	269	17%	59	4%	1,561
Napa	410	90%	33	7%	14	3%	457
Nevada	200	85%	9	4%	25	11%	234
Orange	6,223	77%	1,206	15%	611	8%	8,040
Placer	1,074	88%	87	7%	56	5%	1,217
Plumas	7	18%	26	67%	6	15%	39
Riverside	18,083	87%	1,869	9%	820	4%	20,772
Sacramento	6,106	82%	1,052	14%	246	3%	7,404

COUNTY	SAFE		SAFE WITH PLAN		UNSAFE		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
San Benito	180	92%	5	3%	10	5%	**195**
San Bernardino	10,284	88%	560	5%	854	7%	**11,698**
San Diego	14,390	90%	1,339	8%	257	2%	**15,986**
San Francisco	1,053	66%	429	27%	114	7%	**1,596**
San Joaquin	2,739	88%	253	8%	112	4%	**3,104**
San Luis Obispo	1,382	89%	134	9%	44	3%	**1,560**
San Mateo	1,265	89%	117	8%	33	2%	**1,415**
Santa Barbara	2,716	92%	148	5%	90	3%	**2,954**
Santa Clara	5,112	88%	573	10%	155	3%	**5,840**
Santa Cruz	535	69%	198	26%	41	5%	**774**
Shasta	765	66%	293	25%	97	8%	**1,155**
Siskiyou	302	87%	20	6%	27	8%	**349**
Solano	1,689	91%	106	6%	60	3%	**1,855**
Sonoma	932	71%	304	23%	70	5%	**1,306**
Stanislaus	2,693	94%	132	5%	51	2%	**2,876**
Sutter	223	79%	48	17%	12	4%	**283**
Tehama	405	74%	100	18%	41	8%	**546**
Trinity	32	54%	24	41%	3	5%	**59**
Tulare	2,495	79%	481	15%	173	5%	**3,149**
Tuolumne	126	58%	72	33%	20	9%	**218**
Ventura	2,505	91%	181	7%	56	2%	**2,742**
Yolo	383	67%	143	25%	46	8%	**572**
Yuba	317	60%	161	31%	48	9%	**526**
Total	**128,278**	**84%**	**17,300**	**11%**	**7,551**	**5%**	**153,129**

Of the 153,129 SDM safety assessments completed on allegation households in 2024, there were 7,551 with a safety decision of unsafe and 17,300 had a safety decision of safe with plan.

TABLE 9		
THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS UNSAFE		
SAFETY THREAT	**n**	**%**
Alameda (N = 222)		
Child immediate needs not met	117	53%
Physical harm	81	36%
Hazardous living conditions	31	14%
Domestic violence	31	14%
Butte (N = 57)		
Child immediate needs not met	27	47%
Hazardous living conditions	22	39%
Physical harm	19	33%
Contra Costa (N = 144)		
Child immediate needs not met	75	52%
Physical harm	54	38%
Failure to protect	33	23%
El Dorado (N = 47)		
Child immediate needs not met	23	49%
Physical harm	14	30%
Failure to protect	14	30%
Fresno (N = 305)		
Child immediate needs not met	153	50%
Physical harm	81	27%
Failure to protect	74	24%
Humboldt (N = 52)		
Child immediate needs not met	23	44%
Physical harm	12	23%
Other	10	19%
Imperial (N = 70)		
Child immediate needs not met	47	67%
Failure to protect	22	31%
Hazardous living conditions	17	24%

TABLE 9

THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS UNSAFE

SAFETY THREAT	n	%
Kern (N = 338)		
Child immediate needs not met	179	53%
Physical harm	94	28%
Hazardous living conditions	93	28%
Kings (N = 36)		
Child immediate needs not met	14	39%
Physical harm	13	36%
Failure to protect	10	28%
Los Angeles (N = 1,661)		
Child immediate needs not met	756	46%
Physical harm	612	37%
Other	407	25%
Madera (N = 97)		
Child immediate needs not met	51	53%
Hazardous living conditions	32	33%
Failure to protect	32	33%
Mendocino (N = 30)		
Child immediate needs not met	21	70%
Failure to protect	13	43%
Physical harm	10	33%
Merced (N = 115)		
Child immediate needs not met	84	73%
Domestic violence	45	39%
Hazardous living conditions	45	39%
Monterey (N = 59)		
Child immediate needs not met	45	76%
Physical harm	20	34%
Hazardous living conditions	9	15%
Nevada (N = 25)		
Child immediate needs not met	20	80%
Hazardous living conditions	8	32%
Physical harm	5	20%
Failure to protect	5	20%

TABLE 9

THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS UNSAFE

SAFETY THREAT	n	%
Orange (N = 611)		
Child immediate needs not met	267	44%
Physical harm	230	38%
Failure to protect	144	24%
Placer (N = 56)		
Child immediate needs not met	32	57%
Failure to protect	21	38%
Physical harm	19	34%
Riverside (N = 820)		
Child immediate needs not met	361	44%
Physical harm	246	30%
Failure to protect	239	29%
Sacramento (N = 246)		
Child immediate needs not met	132	54%
Physical harm	87	35%
Hazardous living conditions	54	22%
San Bernardino (N = 854)		
Child immediate needs not met	408	48%
Physical harm	304	36%
Failure to protect	234	27%
San Diego (N = 257)		
Child immediate needs not met	112	44%
Physical harm	95	37%
Other	39	15%
San Francisco (N = 114)		
Child immediate needs not met	71	62%
Physical harm	30	26%
Failure to protect	23	20%
San Joaquin (N = 112)		
Physical harm	57	51%
Child immediate needs not met	44	39%
Hazardous living conditions	14	13%
Other	14	13%

TABLE 9		
THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS UNSAFE		
SAFETY THREAT	**n**	**%**
San Luis Obispo (N = 44)		
Child immediate needs not met	30	68%
Physical harm	12	27%
Hazardous living conditions	12	27%
Other	12	27%
San Mateo (N = 33)		
Child immediate needs not met	13	39%
Physical harm	7	21%
Failure to protect	6	18%
Santa Barbara (N = 90)		
Child immediate needs not met	60	67%
Failure to protect	24	27%
Physical harm	23	26%
Santa Clara (N = 155)		
Child immediate needs not met	87	56%
Other	48	31%
Physical harm	45	29%
Santa Cruz (N = 41)		
Child immediate needs not met	24	59%
Physical harm	13	32%
Hazardous living conditions	9	22%
Shasta (N = 97)		
Child immediate needs not met	47	48%
Physical harm	31	32%
Hazardous living conditions	28	29%
Siskiyou (N = 27)		
Child immediate needs not met	17	63%
Failure to protect	9	33%
Physical harm	6	22%
Hazardous living conditions	6	22%
Solano (N = 60)		
Child immediate needs not met	39	65%
Physical harm	21	35%

TABLE 9 THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS UNSAFE		
SAFETY THREAT	n	%
Hazardous living conditions	14	23%
Sonoma (N = 70)		
Child immediate needs not met	45	64%
Physical harm	28	40%
Failure to protect	16	23%
Stanislaus (N = 51)		
Child immediate needs not met	25	49%
Other	20	39%
Hazardous living conditions	14	27%
Tehama (N = 41)		
Child immediate needs not met	25	61%
Physical harm	13	32%
Hazardous living conditions	12	29%
Tulare (N = 173)		
Child immediate needs not met	86	50%
Physical harm	46	27%
Hazardous living conditions	39	23%
Ventura (N = 56)		
Child immediate needs not met	25	45%
Physical harm	21	38%
Hazardous living conditions	11	20%
Yolo (N = 46)		
Child immediate needs not met	22	48%
Failure to protect	17	37%
Physical harm	14	30%
Yuba (N = 48)		
Child immediate needs not met	29	60%
Hazardous living conditions	25	52%
Failure to protect	24	50%
COMBINED (N = 7,551; includes all safety threats on the assessment and all counties in the state)		
Child immediate needs not met	**3,740**	**50%**
Physical harm	**2,514**	**33%**
Failure to protect	**1,751**	**23%**

TABLE 10		
THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS SAFE WITH PLAN		
SAFETY THREAT	**n**	**%**
Alameda (N = 218)		
Domestic violence	81	37%
Physical harm	45	21%
Child immediate needs not met	44	20%
Amador (N = 61)		
Domestic violence	22	36%
Physical harm	13	21%
Hazardous living conditions	11	18%
Butte (N = 117)		
Child immediate needs not met	37	32%
Domestic violence	26	22%
Hazardous living conditions	25	21%
Calaveras (N = 31)		
Hazardous living conditions	9	29%
Domestic violence	8	26%
Physical harm	5	16%
Child immediate needs not met	5	16%
Colusa (N = 39)		
Child immediate needs not met	12	31%
Other	10	26%
Physical harm	8	21%
Contra Costa (N = 326)		
Domestic violence	126	39%
Child immediate needs not met	67	21%
Physical harm	64	20%
El Dorado (N = 63)		
Domestic violence	17	27%
Child immediate needs not met	16	25%
Physical harm	15	24%
Fresno (N = 559)		
Domestic violence	206	37%
Child immediate needs not met	162	29%
Physical harm	116	21%

TABLE 10

THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS SAFE WITH PLAN

SAFETY THREAT	n	%
Imperial (N = 116)		
Domestic violence	31	27%
Child immediate needs not met	26	22%
Other	23	20%
Kern (N = 258)		
Physical harm	93	36%
Child immediate needs not met	60	23%
Domestic violence	44	17%
Kings (N = 102)		
Domestic violence	31	30%
Physical harm	22	22%
Child immediate needs not met	22	22%
Lake (N = 51)		
Physical harm	20	39%
Child immediate needs not met	12	24%
Hazardous living conditions	11	22%
Los Angeles (N = 4,347)		
Domestic violence	1,351	31%
Physical harm	1,029	24%
Other	913	21%
Madera (N = 132)		
Domestic violence	43	33%
Child immediate needs not met	35	27%
Physical harm	30	23%
Marin (N = 134)		
Domestic violence	81	60%
Physical harm	24	18%
Other	24	18%
Mendocino (N = 88)		
Domestic violence	38	43%
Child immediate needs not met	23	26%
Physical harm	17	19%

TABLE 10

THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS SAFE WITH PLAN

SAFETY THREAT	n	%
Merced (N = 172)		
Child immediate needs not met	53	31%
Domestic violence	42	24%
Physical harm	32	19%
Monterey (N = 269)		
Physical harm	82	30%
Child immediate needs not met	68	25%
Domestic violence	51	19%
Napa (N = 33)		
Physical harm	13	39%
Child immediate needs not met	8	24%
Domestic violence	8	24%
Orange (N = 1,206)		
Domestic violence	442	37%
Child immediate needs not met	344	29%
Physical harm	189	16%
Placer (N = 87)		
Child immediate needs not met	31	36%
Domestic violence	21	24%
Physical harm	21	24%
Plumas (N = 26)		
Child immediate needs not met	15	58%
Domestic violence	6	23%
Hazardous living conditions	5	19%
Riverside (N = 1,869)		
Domestic violence	714	38%
Child immediate needs not met	379	20%
Physical harm	356	19%
Sacramento (N = 1,052)		
Domestic violence	387	37%
Physical harm	236	22%
Child immediate needs not met	217	21%

TABLE 10

THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS SAFE WITH PLAN

SAFETY THREAT	n	%
San Bernardino (N = 560)		
Domestic violence	175	31%
Physical harm	160	29%
Child immediate needs not met	109	19%
San Diego (N = 1,339)		
Domestic violence	525	39%
Physical harm	267	20%
Child immediate needs not met	237	18%
San Francisco (N = 429)		
Domestic violence	207	48%
Physical harm	110	26%
Child immediate needs not met	54	13%
San Joaquin (N = 253)		
Physical harm	80	32%
Domestic violence	67	26%
Sexual Abuse	35	14%
San Luis Obispo (N = 134)		
Child immediate needs not met	49	37%
Domestic violence	34	25%
Other	21	16%
San Mateo (N = 117)		
Domestic violence	51	44%
Physical harm	31	26%
Questionable explanation of injury	15	13%
Santa Barbara (N = 148)		
Child immediate needs not met	50	34%
Physical harm	40	27%
Domestic violence	28	19%
Santa Clara (N = 573)		
Domestic violence	229	40%
Physical harm	129	23%
Child immediate needs not met	100	17%

TABLE 10

THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS SAFE WITH PLAN

SAFETY THREAT	n	%
Santa Cruz (N = 198)		
Domestic violence	81	41%
Physical harm	49	25%
Child immediate needs not met	41	21%
Shasta (N = 293)		
Domestic violence	116	40%
Physical harm	66	23%
Child immediate needs not met	60	20%
Solano (N = 106)		
Domestic violence	31	29%
Child immediate needs not met	28	26%
Physical harm	25	24%
Sonoma (N = 304)		
Domestic violence	118	39%
Physical harm	67	22%
Child immediate needs not met	61	20%
Stanislaus (N = 132)		
Physical harm	49	37%
Child immediate needs not met	28	21%
Hazardous living conditions	25	19%
Sutter (N = 48)		
Physical harm	19	40%
Sexual Abuse	9	19%
Domestic violence	9	19%
Tehama (N = 100)		
Domestic violence	35	35%
Child immediate needs not met	26	26%
Physical harm	19	19%
Tulare (N = 481)		
Domestic violence	117	24%
Child immediate needs not met	110	23%
Physical harm	107	22%

TABLE 10		
THREE MOST PREVALENT SAFETY THREATS IDENTIFIED IN HOUSEHOLDS ASSESSED AS SAFE WITH PLAN		
SAFETY THREAT	**n**	**%**
Tuolumne (N = 72)		
Domestic violence	20	28%
Child immediate needs not met	19	26%
Failure to protect	14	19%
Ventura (N = 181)		
Child immediate needs not met	61	34%
Physical harm	51	28%
Domestic violence	43	24%
Yolo (N = 143)		
Domestic violence	62	43%
Child immediate needs not met	27	19%
Sexual Abuse	22	15%
Yuba (N = 161)		
Child immediate needs not met	52	32%
Domestic violence	49	30%
Physical harm	30	19%
COMBINED (N = 17,300; includes all safety threats on the assessment and all counties in the state)		
Domestic violence	**5,842**	**34%**
Physical harm	**3,852**	**22%**
Child immediate needs not met	**3,444**	**20%**

SDM RISK ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Of substantiated (28,229) or inconclusive (65,311) investigations in 2024, there were 89,018 (95%) with a completed risk assessment. Referrals directed to an in-person response on the hotline tool were not included in the risk assessment completion rate, as the policy states no additional SDM assessments are required for these referrals.

TABLE 11					
SDM RISK ASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE: SUBSTANTIATED AND INCONCLUSIVE INVESTIGATIONS					
COUNTY	**COMPLETED**		**NOT COMPLETED**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Alameda	1,042	96%	48	4%	**1,090**
Amador	124	96%	5	4%	**129**
Butte	258	92%	23	8%	**281**
Calaveras	172	99%	1	1%	**173**
Colusa	51	77%	15	23%	**66**
Contra Costa	898	94%	56	6%	**954**
Del Norte	63	97%	2	3%	**65**
El Dorado	383	94%	24	6%	**407**
Fresno	2,247	73%	850	27%	**3,097**
Glenn	93	100%	0	0%	**93**
Humboldt	310	98%	6	2%	**316**
Imperial	796	94%	53	6%	**849**
Kern	2,208	99%	27	1%	**2,235**
Kings	377	98%	7	2%	**384**
Lake	319	92%	28	8%	**347**
Lassen	83	100%	0	0%	**83**
Los Angeles	26,430	99%	391	1%	**26,821**
Madera	828	99%	8	1%	**836**
Marin	176	95%	9	5%	**185**
Mendocino	422	96%	17	4%	**439**
Merced	549	74%	189	26%	**738**
Modoc	95	85%	17	15%	**112**
Monterey	580	94%	37	6%	**617**
Napa	191	98%	4	2%	**195**
Nevada	91	96%	4	4%	**95**

TABLE 11				
SDM RISK ASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE: **SUBSTANTIATED AND INCONCLUSIVE INVESTIGATIONS**				
COUNTY	COMPLETED		NOT COMPLETED		N
	n	%	n	%	
Orange	5,404	99%	63	1%	**5,467**
Placer	774	97%	28	3%	**802**
Plumas	17	35%	31	65%	**48**
Riverside	9,315	96%	399	4%	**9,714**
Sacramento	4,040	94%	258	6%	**4,298**
San Benito	51	98%	1	2%	**52**
San Bernardino	4,944	83%	998	17%	**5,942**
San Diego	7,731	96%	281	4%	**8,012**
San Francisco	703	92%	64	8%	**767**
San Joaquin	2,343	98%	55	2%	**2,398**
San Luis Obispo	456	98%	10	2%	**466**
San Mateo	551	92%	49	8%	**600**
Santa Barbara	1,610	99%	19	1%	**1,629**
Santa Clara	3,315	98%	58	2%	**3,373**
Santa Cruz	551	98%	13	2%	**564**
Shasta	802	100%	0	0%	**802**
Siskiyou	222	98%	5	2%	**227**
Solano	534	82%	115	18%	**649**
Sonoma	743	93%	53	7%	**796**
Stanislaus	2,090	99%	25	1%	**2,115**
Sutter	131	81%	30	19%	**161**
Tehama	274	94%	17	6%	**291**
Trinity	38	100%	0	0%	**38**
Tulare	1,335	100%	5	<1%	**1,340**
Tuolumne	118	86%	20	14%	**138**
Ventura	1,344	97%	37	3%	**1,381**
Yolo	410	95%	20	5%	**430**
Yuba	344	92%	31	8%	**375**
Total	**89,018**	**95%**	**4,522**	**5%**	**93,540**

	TABLE 12								
	FINAL SDM RISK LEVEL								
COUNTY	**LOW**		**MODERATE**		**HIGH**		**VERY HIGH**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Alameda	237	23%	504	48%	216	21%	85	8%	**1,042**
Amador	20	16%	54	44%	42	34%	8	6%	**124**
Butte	16	6%	103	40%	90	35%	49	19%	**258**
Calaveras	12	7%	82	48%	61	35%	17	10%	**172**
Colusa	12	24%	35	69%	3	6%	1	2%	**51**
Contra Costa	168	19%	440	49%	204	23%	86	10%	**898**
Del Norte	6	10%	24	38%	19	30%	14	22%	**63**
El Dorado	72	19%	175	46%	98	26%	38	10%	**383**
Fresno	375	17%	1,111	49%	600	27%	161	7%	**2,247**
Glenn	11	12%	37	40%	31	33%	14	15%	**93**
Humboldt	24	8%	119	38%	110	35%	57	18%	**310**
Imperial	254	32%	412	52%	88	11%	42	5%	**796**
Kern	227	10%	904	41%	745	34%	332	15%	**2,208**
Kings	70	19%	182	48%	103	27%	22	6%	**377**
Lake	57	18%	159	50%	85	27%	18	6%	**319**
Lassen	15	18%	39	47%	24	29%	5	6%	**83**
Los Angeles	4,666	18%	12,225	46%	7,074	27%	2,465	9%	**26,430**
Madera	204	25%	374	45%	185	22%	65	8%	**828**
Marin	32	18%	94	53%	44	25%	6	3%	**176**
Mendocino	45	11%	195	46%	145	34%	37	9%	**422**
Merced	89	16%	306	56%	117	21%	37	7%	**549**
Modoc	15	16%	58	61%	17	18%	5	5%	**95**
Monterey	182	31%	250	43%	93	16%	55	9%	**580**
Napa	32	17%	104	54%	37	19%	18	9%	**191**
Nevada	12	13%	45	49%	26	29%	8	9%	**91**
Orange	1,300	24%	2,454	45%	1,240	23%	410	8%	**5,404**
Placer	179	23%	399	52%	146	19%	50	6%	**774**
Riverside	1,676	18%	4,619	50%	2,339	25%	681	7%	**9,315**
Sacramento	731	18%	1,951	48%	1,056	26%	302	7%	**4,040**
San Benito	7	14%	29	57%	11	22%	4	8%	**51**
San Bernardino	780	16%	2,440	49%	1,172	24%	552	11%	**4,944**
San Diego	1,619	21%	3,702	48%	1,935	25%	475	6%	**7,731**
San Francisco	135	19%	336	48%	166	24%	66	9%	**703**

TABLE 12									
FINAL SDM RISK LEVEL									
COUNTY	**LOW**		**MODERATE**		**HIGH**		**VERY HIGH**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	
San Joaquin	580	25%	1,264	54%	379	16%	120	5%	**2,343**
San Luis Obispo	48	11%	212	46%	153	34%	43	9%	**456**
San Mateo	180	33%	257	47%	84	15%	30	5%	**551**
Santa Barbara	403	25%	827	51%	309	19%	71	4%	**1,610**
Santa Clara	741	22%	1,636	49%	701	21%	237	7%	**3,315**
Santa Cruz	83	15%	246	45%	161	29%	61	11%	**551**
Shasta	102	13%	391	49%	224	28%	85	11%	**802**
Siskiyou	20	9%	117	53%	57	26%	28	13%	**222**
Solano	130	24%	262	49%	112	21%	30	6%	**534**
Sonoma	125	17%	362	49%	186	25%	70	9%	**743**
Stanislaus	605	29%	1,133	54%	284	14%	68	3%	**2,090**
Sutter	43	33%	68	52%	14	11%	6	5%	**131**
Tehama	42	15%	129	47%	75	27%	28	10%	**274**
Trinity	2	5%	15	39%	13	34%	8	21%	**38**
Tulare	189	14%	604	45%	393	29%	149	11%	**1,335**
Tuolumne	14	12%	59	50%	34	29%	11	9%	**118**
Ventura	238	18%	697	52%	300	22%	109	8%	**1,344**
Yolo	66	16%	198	48%	99	24%	47	11%	**410**
Yuba	54	16%	174	51%	87	25%	29	8%	**344**
Total	**16,951**	**19%**	**42,638**	**48%**	**22,007**	**25%**	**7,422**	**8%**	**89,018**

	TABLE 13						
	SDM RISK ASSESSMENT OVERRIDE RATE						
COUNTY	**NO OVERRIDE**		**POLICY OVERRIDE**		**DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Alameda	995	95%	22	2%	25	2%	1,042
Amador	123	99%	0	0%	1	1%	124
Butte	243	94%	6	2%	9	3%	258
Calaveras	170	99%	1	1%	1	1%	172
Colusa	51	100%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Contra Costa	860	96%	20	2%	18	2%	898
Del Norte	61	97%	1	2%	1	2%	63
El Dorado	373	97%	4	1%	6	2%	383
Fresno	2,201	98%	29	1%	17	1%	2,247
Glenn	89	96%	1	1%	3	3%	93
Humboldt	297	96%	6	2%	7	2%	310
Imperial	790	99%	3	<1%	3	<1%	796
Kern	2,104	95%	46	2%	58	3%	2,208
Kings	364	97%	8	2%	5	1%	377
Lake	316	99%	2	1%	1	<1%	319
Lassen	82	99%	1	1%	0	0%	83
Los Angeles	24,947	94%	308	1%	1,175	4%	26,430
Madera	809	98%	7	1%	12	1%	828
Marin	166	94%	1	1%	9	5%	176
Mendocino	415	98%	2	<1%	5	1%	422
Merced	542	99%	4	1%	3	1%	549
Modoc	90	95%	3	3%	2	2%	95
Monterey	555	96%	19	3%	6	1%	580
Napa	188	98%	2	1%	1	1%	191
Nevada	87	96%	3	3%	1	1%	91
Orange	5,015	93%	84	2%	305	6%	5,404
Placer	750	97%	12	2%	12	2%	774
Riverside	8,992	97%	115	1%	208	2%	9,315
Sacramento	3,918	97%	32	1%	90	2%	4,040
San Benito	51	100%	0	0%	0	0%	51
San Bernardino	4,584	93%	117	2%	243	5%	4,944
San Diego	7,581	98%	59	1%	91	1%	7,731

COUNTY	NO OVERRIDE		POLICY OVERRIDE		DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
San Francisco	663	94%	17	2%	23	3%	703
San Joaquin	2,304	98%	16	1%	23	1%	2,343
San Luis Obispo	444	97%	1	<1%	11	2%	456
San Mateo	541	98%	8	1%	2	<1%	551
Santa Barbara	1,561	97%	14	1%	35	2%	1,610
Santa Clara	3,188	96%	23	1%	104	3%	3,315
Santa Cruz	542	98%	6	1%	3	1%	551
Shasta	774	97%	8	1%	20	2%	802
Siskiyou	208	94%	4	2%	10	5%	222
Solano	525	98%	6	1%	3	1%	534
Sonoma	717	97%	9	1%	17	2%	743
Stanislaus	2,061	99%	7	<1%	22	1%	2,090
Sutter	126	96%	1	1%	4	3%	131
Tehama	268	98%	4	1%	2	1%	274
Trinity	38	100%	0	0%	0	0%	38
Tulare	1,294	97%	22	2%	19	1%	1,335
Tuolumne	114	97%	0	0%	4	3%	118
Ventura	1,303	97%	22	2%	19	1%	1,344
Yolo	390	95%	9	2%	11	3%	410
Yuba	334	97%	4	1%	6	2%	344
Total	**85,261**	**96%**	**1,100**	**1%**	**2,657**	**3%**	**89,018**

Table title (header cell):

TABLE 13

SDM RISK ASSESSMENT OVERRIDE RATE

SDM RISK LEVEL AND SAFETY DECISION COMBINATIONS

In 2024, 128,609 investigations for families that did not already have an open case had a completed safety and risk assessment. The analysis examined findings from the last safety assessment completed during the investigation and risk assessment.

COUNTY	LOW/MODERATE RISK						HIGH/VERY HIGH RISK						N
	SAFE		SAFE WITH PLAN		UNSAFE		SAFE		SAFE WITH PLAN		UNSAFE		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	1,460	72%	109	5%	76	4%	196	10%	53	3%	129	6%	**2,023**
Amador	95	52%	32	17%	2	1%	30	16%	18	10%	7	4%	**184**
Butte	91	30%	56	19%	12	4%	64	21%	43	14%	34	11%	**300**
Calaveras	142	59%	2	1%	3	1%	72	30%	6	2%	17	7%	**242**
Colusa	19	31%	36	59%	2	3%	1	2%	1	2%	2	3%	**61**
Contra Costa	1,414	73%	106	5%	27	1%	241	12%	67	3%	85	4%	**1,940**
Del Norte	81	58%	3	2%	2	1%	40	29%	5	4%	8	6%	**139**
El Dorado	447	72%	11	2%	11	2%	110	18%	19	3%	26	4%	**624**
Fresno	2,285	63%	267	7%	74	2%	647	18%	176	5%	160	4%	**3,609**
Glenn	124	66%	8	4%	4	2%	35	19%	8	4%	9	5%	**188**
Humboldt	541	67%	1	<1%	4	<1%	215	27%	5	1%	44	5%	**810**
Imperial	833	82%	34	3%	9	1%	80	8%	11	1%	50	5%	**1,017**
Kern	1,188	51%	24	1%	57	2%	728	32%	37	2%	276	12%	**2,310**
Kings	590	72%	21	3%	12	1%	148	18%	18	2%	25	3%	**814**
Lake	252	67%	20	5%	1	<1%	69	18%	22	6%	14	4%	**378**
Lassen	132	71%	8	4%	2	1%	29	16%	3	2%	12	6%	**186**

TABLE 14 — SDM RISK LEVEL AND SAFETY DECISION

	TABLE 14											
	SDM RISK LEVEL AND SAFETY DECISION											
	LOW/MODERATE RISK						**HIGH/VERY HIGH RISK**					
COUNTY	**SAFE**		**SAFE WITH PLAN**		**UNSAFE**		**SAFE**		**SAFE WITH PLAN**		**UNSAFE**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Los Angeles	18,273	64%	1,136	4%	220	1%	6,312	22%	1,253	4%	1,342	5%	**28,536**
Madera	903	69%	70	5%	18	1%	234	18%	39	3%	45	3%	**1,309**
Marin	83	37%	92	41%	1	<1%	13	6%	27	12%	9	4%	**225**
Mariposa	51	78%	4	6%	0	0%	5	8%	2	3%	3	5%	**65**
Mendocino	297	58%	20	4%	4	1%	148	29%	14	3%	28	5%	**511**
Merced	348	65%	40	7%	1	<1%	95	18%	27	5%	23	4%	**534**
Modoc	95	79%	4	3%	0	0%	13	11%	1	1%	7	6%	**120**
Monterey	442	69%	41	6%	15	2%	63	10%	33	5%	46	7%	**640**
Napa	325	73%	5	1%	2	0%	102	23%	1	<1%	13	3%	**448**
Nevada	132	70%	3	2%	5	3%	36	19%	3	2%	10	5%	**189**
Orange	5,093	66%	707	9%	61	1%	938	12%	288	4%	580	8%	**7,667**
Placer	881	80%	7	1%	13	1%	134	12%	13	1%	54	5%	**1,102**
Riverside	14,721	76%	379	2%	156	1%	3,220	17%	339	2%	576	3%	**19,391**
Sacramento	2,646	64%	181	4%	36	1%	871	21%	237	6%	168	4%	**4,139**
San Benito	34	69%	2	4%	0	0%	7	14%	0	0%	6	12%	**49**
San Bernardino	4,132	69%	135	2%	78	1%	812	14%	98	2%	756	13%	**6,011**
San Diego	11,443	76%	78	1%	27	<1%	3,143	21%	128	1%	214	1%	**15,033**
San Francisco	1,016	69%	119	8%	27	2%	168	11%	77	5%	68	5%	**1,475**
San Joaquin	1,849	79%	21	1%	17	1%	327	14%	33	1%	93	4%	**2,340**
San Luis Obispo	1,035	69%	17	1%	8	1%	401	27%	13	1%	32	2%	**1,506**
San Mateo	531	79%	20	3%	4	1%	87	13%	11	2%	23	3%	**676**

	TABLE 14											
	SDM RISK LEVEL AND SAFETY DECISION											
	LOW/MODERATE RISK						HIGH/VERY HIGH RISK						
COUNTY	SAFE		SAFE WITH PLAN		UNSAFE		SAFE		SAFE WITH PLAN		UNSAFE		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Santa Barbara	2,220	82%	16	1%	28	1%	340	13%	26	1%	87	3%	**2,717**
Santa Clara	4,160	77%	115	2%	18	<1%	861	16%	155	3%	116	2%	**5,425**
Santa Cruz	365	49%	109	15%	3	<1%	169	23%	76	10%	21	3%	**743**
Shasta	560	51%	159	15%	14	1%	191	17%	100	9%	71	6%	**1,095**
Siskiyou	222	68%	5	2%	0	0%	78	24%	3	1%	20	6%	**328**
Solano	452	70%	40	6%	7	1%	77	12%	18	3%	49	8%	**643**
Sonoma	722	67%	52	5%	4	<1%	219	20%	51	5%	35	3%	**1,083**
Stanislaus	2,055	82%	41	2%	11	<1%	305	12%	42	2%	38	2%	**2,492**
Sutter	149	74%	29	14%	2	1%	10	5%	4	2%	8	4%	**202**
Tehama	132	43%	55	18%	8	3%	50	16%	35	12%	24	8%	**304**
Trinity	26	46%	6	11%	0	0%	15	27%	6	11%	3	5%	**56**
Tulare	2,004	68%	99	3%	50	2%	604	20%	100	3%	107	4%	**2,964**
Tuolumne	67	41%	37	23%	0	0%	24	15%	19	12%	15	9%	**162**
Ventura	1,954	75%	52	2%	7	<1%	477	18%	66	3%	49	2%	**2,605**
Yolo	301	58%	65	12%	7	1%	68	13%	42	8%	40	8%	**523**
Yuba	247	58%	52	12%	10	2%	55	13%	23	5%	40	9%	**427**
Total	**89,685**	**70%**	**4,758**	**4%**	**1,161**	**1%**	**23,382**	**18%**	**3,903**	**3%**	**5,720**	**4%**	**128,609**

SDM REUNIFICATION ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

The analysis examined whether workers completed a reunification assessment within six or nine months of the start of a child's family reunification (FR) services. Placement episodes lasting less than eight days were excluded from the analysis; probate guardianship, the Kinship Guardianship Assistance Payment Program, and Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children episodes were also excluded. The dates on which these started and whether the youth reached their 18th birthday were considered. Placement episodes with FR services active less than nine months—and still open as of the extract date during each year examined (e.g., the extract for the current year was February 17, 2025)—were excluded to allow equal opportunity (i.e., at least nine months) to complete the reunification assessment.

	TABLE 15						
	SDM REUNIFICATION ASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE						
COUNTY	**COMPLETED WITHIN SIX MONTHS**		**COMPLETED BETWEEN SIX AND NINE MONTHS**		**NOT COMPLETED**		**N**
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	133	60%	7	3%	83	37%	**223**
Amador	19	49%	9	23%	11	28%	**39**
Butte	13	8%	19	12%	126	80%	**158**
Calaveras	37	86%	0	0%	6	14%	**43**
Contra Costa	27	13%	57	28%	122	59%	**206**
Del Norte	6	21%	10	34%	13	45%	**29**
El Dorado	8	13%	9	15%	43	72%	**60**
Fresno	17	2%	30	4%	678	94%	**725**
Humboldt	10	8%	42	36%	66	56%	**118**
Imperial	44	40%	12	11%	54	49%	**110**
Kern	122	16%	171	22%	486	62%	**779**
Kings	38	21%	74	40%	73	39%	**185**
Lake	11	42%	7	27%	8	31%	**26**
Los Angeles	2,927	66%	454	10%	1,021	23%	**4,402**
Madera	46	42%	22	20%	42	38%	**110**
Marin	5	12%	7	17%	29	71%	**41**
Mendocino	8	7%	11	10%	88	82%	**107**
Merced	46	16%	144	51%	93	33%	**283**
Monterey	7	14%	9	18%	35	69%	**51**
Napa	18	69%	5	19%	3	12%	**26**
Orange	97	10%	193	21%	641	69%	**931**
Placer	21	23%	23	25%	48	52%	**92**

COUNTY	TABLE 15 SDM REUNIFICATION ASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE						
	COMPLETED WITHIN SIX MONTHS		COMPLETED BETWEEN SIX AND NINE MONTHS		NOT COMPLETED		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Riverside	184	13%	156	11%	1,080	76%	1,420
Sacramento	59	14%	116	27%	251	59%	426
San Bernardino	147	8%	582	31%	1,173	62%	1,902
San Diego	132	20%	214	33%	303	47%	649
San Francisco	38	14%	51	18%	189	68%	278
San Joaquin	113	28%	101	25%	186	47%	400
San Luis Obispo	23	21%	45	42%	40	37%	108
San Mateo	17	50%	6	18%	11	32%	34
Santa Barbara	55	49%	11	10%	46	41%	112
Santa Clara	111	71%	8	5%	37	24%	156
Santa Cruz	22	69%	3	9%	7	22%	32
Shasta	98	56%	16	9%	62	35%	176
Siskiyou	12	26%	21	46%	13	28%	46
Solano	72	63%	18	16%	25	22%	115
Sonoma	11	14%	2	3%	64	83%	77
Stanislaus	9	8%	20	18%	82	74%	111
Sutter	2	4%	5	10%	43	86%	50
Tehama	15	33%	3	7%	28	61%	46
Tulare	41	11%	165	44%	165	44%	371
Tuolumne	30	94%	0	0%	2	6%	32
Ventura	73	35%	34	16%	102	49%	209
Yolo	8	8%	1	1%	94	91%	103
Yuba	8	10%	9	11%	64	79%	81
Total	4,958	31%	2,936	19%	7,923	50%	15,817

In 2023, there were 7,889 children who had a reunification assessment completed within six or nine months of the start of FR services.

	TABLE 16						
SDM REUNIFICATION ASSESSMENT PERMANENCY PLAN RECOMMENDATION							
COUNTY	**CONTINUE SERVICES**		**TERMINATE SERVICES/PURSUE PERMANENCY ALTERNATIVE**		**RETURN HOME**		**N**
	n	**%**	**n**	**%**	**n**	**%**	
Alameda	96	69%	42	30%	2	1%	**140**
Amador	17	61%	6	21%	5	18%	**28**
Butte	16	50%	3	9%	13	41%	**32**
Calaveras	24	65%	7	19%	6	16%	**37**
Contra Costa	41	49%	17	20%	26	31%	**84**
Fresno	25	53%	8	17%	14	30%	**47**
Humboldt	28	54%	12	23%	12	23%	**52**
Imperial	31	55%	14	25%	11	20%	**56**
Kern	175	60%	75	26%	43	15%	**293**
Kings	50	45%	14	13%	48	43%	**112**
Los Angeles	2,564	76%	509	15%	305	9%	**3,378**
Madera	39	57%	11	16%	18	26%	**68**
Merced	118	62%	30	16%	42	22%	**190**
Orange	188	65%	70	24%	31	11%	**289**
Placer	27	61%	11	25%	6	14%	**44**
Riverside	238	70%	40	12%	62	18%	**340**
Sacramento	99	57%	45	26%	31	18%	**175**
San Bernardino	450	62%	139	19%	140	19%	**729**
San Diego	223	64%	76	22%	47	14%	**346**
San Francisco	44	50%	18	20%	26	30%	**88**
San Joaquin	146	68%	53	25%	15	7%	**214**
San Luis Obispo	41	60%	13	19%	14	21%	**68**
Santa Barbara	41	62%	11	17%	14	21%	**66**
Santa Clara	87	73%	24	20%	8	7%	**119**
Santa Cruz	10	40%	6	24%	9	36%	**25**
Shasta	73	64%	23	20%	18	16%	**114**
Siskiyou	26	79%	2	6%	5	15%	**33**
Solano	73	81%	14	16%	3	3%	**90**
Stanislaus	13	45%	11	38%	5	17%	**29**
Tulare	128	62%	41	20%	37	18%	**206**
Tuolumne	24	80%	4	13%	2	7%	**30**
Ventura	72	67%	20	19%	15	14%	**107**
Total	**5,389**	**68%**	**1,415**	**18%**	**1,085**	**14%**	**7,889**

Note: Five removals in 2023 had no initial recommendation in the reunification reassessment and were excluded from this analysis.

TABLE 17

SDM REUNIFICATION ASSESSMENT PERMANENCY PLAN OVERRIDE

COUNTY	NO OVERRIDE		POLICY OVERRIDE		DISCRETIONARY OVERRIDE		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	114	81%	19	14%	7	5%	140
Amador	26	93%	2	7%	0	0%	28
Butte	32	100%	0	0%	0	0%	32
Calaveras	28	76%	7	19%	2	5%	37
Contra Costa	67	80%	6	7%	11	13%	84
Fresno	40	85%	6	13%	1	2%	47
Humboldt	44	85%	4	8%	4	8%	52
Imperial	47	84%	7	13%	2	4%	56
Kern	272	93%	10	3%	11	4%	293
Kings	107	96%	5	4%	0	0%	112
Los Angeles	3,018	89%	160	5%	200	6%	3,378
Madera	53	78%	3	4%	12	18%	68
Merced	171	90%	12	6%	7	4%	190
Orange	230	80%	50	17%	9	3%	289
Placer	35	80%	7	16%	2	5%	44
Riverside	293	86%	38	11%	9	3%	340
Sacramento	127	73%	31	18%	17	10%	175
San Bernardino	557	76%	137	19%	35	5%	729
San Diego	308	89%	19	5%	19	5%	346
San Francisco	67	76%	14	16%	7	8%	88
San Joaquin	148	69%	43	20%	23	11%	214
San Luis Obispo	44	65%	13	19%	11	16%	68
Santa Barbara	57	86%	8	12%	1	2%	66
Santa Clara	103	87%	8	7%	8	7%	119
Santa Cruz	23	92%	2	8%	0	0%	25
Shasta	96	84%	11	10%	7	6%	114
Siskiyou	32	97%	0	0%	1	3%	33
Solano	80	89%	8	9%	2	2%	90
Stanislaus	23	79%	6	21%	0	0%	29
Tulare	186	90%	14	7%	6	3%	206
Tuolumne	26	87%	4	13%	0	0%	30
Ventura	86	80%	18	17%	3	3%	107
Total	**6,771**	**86%**	**691**	**9%**	**427**	**5%**	**7,889**

SDM RISK REASSESSMENT FINDINGS

This analysis examined whether children's cases that began in 2023 in family maintenance (FM) services received a completed risk reassessment within six or nine months of their FM services starting. Children who were included received FM services for at least nine months or the life of a case that was active for less than nine months.

COUNTY	TABLE 18						
	SDM RISK REASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE						
	COMPLETED WITHIN SIX MONTHS		COMPLETED BETWEEN SIX AND NINE MONTHS		NOT COMPLETED OR COMPLETED AFTER NINE MONTHS		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	75	49%	37	24%	41	27%	153
Contra Costa	73	52%	18	13%	50	35%	141
El Dorado	5	6%	4	5%	71	89%	80
Fresno	133	64%	12	6%	63	30%	208
Glenn	6	15%	4	10%	29	74%	39
Humboldt	18	22%	13	16%	50	62%	81
Kern	57	37%	29	19%	67	44%	153
Kings	5	17%	4	14%	20	69%	29
Los Angeles	2,192	40%	1,965	36%	1,334	24%	5,491
Madera	47	53%	17	19%	25	28%	89
Marin	11	35%	9	29%	11	35%	31
Merced	2	7%	8	27%	20	67%	30
Monterey	45	68%	15	23%	6	9%	66
Napa	64	84%	1	1%	11	14%	76
Orange	212	25%	310	36%	338	39%	860
Placer	23	33%	31	44%	16	23%	70
Plumas	13	35%	0	0%	24	65%	37
Riverside	361	24%	236	16%	887	60%	1,484
Sacramento	291	72%	52	13%	62	15%	405
San Bernardino	114	22%	97	19%	304	59%	515
San Diego	132	48%	92	33%	52	19%	276
San Francisco	87	33%	62	24%	111	43%	260
San Joaquin	14	16%	59	68%	14	16%	87

TABLE 18						
SDM RISK REASSESSMENT COMPLETION RATE						
COUNTY	COMPLETED WITHIN SIX MONTHS		COMPLETED BETWEEN SIX AND NINE MONTHS		NOT COMPLETED OR COMPLETED AFTER NINE MONTHS		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
San Luis Obispo	17	31%	31	57%	6	11%	**54**
San Mateo	10	27%	4	11%	23	62%	**37**
Santa Barbara	48	73%	7	11%	11	17%	**66**
Santa Clara	277	69%	82	20%	43	11%	**402**
Sonoma	37	32%	28	24%	51	44%	**116**
Stanislaus	83	61%	35	26%	17	13%	**135**
Tulare	31	19%	82	50%	51	31%	**164**
Ventura	107	80%	13	10%	14	10%	**134**
Yolo	4	11%	0	0%	32	89%	**36**
Yuba	8	25%	1	3%	23	72%	**32**
Total	**4,667**	**39%**	**3,370**	**28%**	**3,991**	**33%**	**12,028**

In 2023, there were 8,037 children whose cases began in FM services that received a completed risk reassessment within six or nine months of their FM services starting.

TABLE 19									
SDM RISK REASSESSMENT LEVEL AFTER OVERRIDES									
COUNTY	LOW		MODERATE		HIGH		VERY HIGH		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	55	49%	44	39%	13	12%	0	0%	**112**
Contra Costa	21	23%	51	56%	14	15%	5	5%	**91**
Fresno	33	23%	109	75%	3	2%	0	0%	**145**
Humboldt	3	10%	22	71%	3	10%	3	10%	**31**
Kern	25	29%	44	51%	16	19%	1	1%	**86**
Los Angeles	1,361	33%	2,106	51%	602	14%	88	2%	**4,157**
Madera	22	34%	37	58%	0	0%	5	8%	**64**
Monterey	19	32%	33	55%	7	12%	1	2%	**60**
Napa	14	22%	40	62%	9	14%	2	3%	**65**
Orange	218	42%	242	46%	57	11%	5	1%	**522**
Placer	21	39%	28	52%	3	6%	2	4%	**54**

TABLE 19

SDM RISK REASSESSMENT LEVEL AFTER OVERRIDES

COUNTY	LOW		MODERATE		HIGH		VERY HIGH		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Riverside	207	35%	312	52%	64	11%	14	2%	597
Sacramento	67	20%	238	69%	36	10%	2	1%	343
San Bernardino	70	33%	112	53%	29	14%	0	0%	211
San Diego	50	22%	130	58%	36	16%	8	4%	224
San Francisco	39	26%	97	65%	13	9%	0	0%	149
San Joaquin	12	16%	41	56%	20	27%	0	0%	73
San Luis Obispo	8	17%	27	56%	13	27%	0	0%	48
Santa Barbara	13	24%	32	58%	10	18%	0	0%	55
Santa Clara	64	18%	197	55%	87	24%	11	3%	359
Sonoma	21	32%	24	37%	20	31%	0	0%	65
Stanislaus	38	32%	68	58%	12	10%	0	0%	118
Tulare	53	47%	45	40%	11	10%	4	4%	113
Ventura	17	14%	87	73%	13	11%	3	3%	120
Total	**2,503**	**31%**	**4,260**	**53%**	**1,118**	**14%**	**156**	**2%**	**8,037**

Results for counties with fewer than 25 cases for analysis do not appear in the following table.

TABLE 20

SDM RISK REASSESSMENT CASE CLOSED WITHIN 90 DAYS BY RISK CATEGORY

COUNTY	LOW/MODERATE RISK			HIGH/VERY HIGH RISK		
	CASES CLOSED n	CASES N	CASE CLOSED %	CASES CLOSED n	CASES N	CASE CLOSED %
Alameda	70	99	71%	—	—	—
Contra Costa	33	72	46%	—	—	—
Fresno	30	142	21%	—	—	—
Humboldt	14	25	56%	—	—	—
Kern	53	69	77%	—	—	—
Los Angeles	1,565	3,467	45%	117	690	17%
Madera	41	59	69%	—	—	—
Monterey	43	52	83%	—	—	—
Napa	35	54	65%	—	—	—
Orange	331	460	72%	11	62	18%

	LOW/MODERATE RISK			HIGH/VERY HIGH RISK		
COUNTY	**CASES CLOSED n**	**CASES N**	**CASE CLOSED %**	**CASES CLOSED n**	**CASES N**	**CASE CLOSED %**
Placer	28	49	57%	—	—	—
Riverside	272	519	52%	7	78	9%
Sacramento	87	305	29%	10	38	26%
San Bernardino	84	182	46%	7	29	24%
San Diego	108	180	60%	23	44	52%
San Francisco	104	136	76%	—	—	—
San Joaquin	9	53	17%	—	—	—
San Luis Obispo	28	35	80%	—	—	—
Santa Barbara	13	45	29%	—	—	—
Santa Clara	208	261	80%	28	98	29%
Sonoma	43	45	96%	—	—	—
Stanislaus	54	106	51%	—	—	—
Tulare	56	98	57%	—	—	—
Ventura	30	104	29%	—	—	—
Total	**3,443**	**6,763**	**51%**	**279**	**1274**	**22%**

TABLE 20

SDM RISK REASSESSMENT CASE CLOSED WITHIN 90 DAYS BY RISK CATEGORY